Pam 68-754

Little Red Library

CLASS STRUGGLE

CLASS COLLABORATION

By EARL BROWDER



THE WORKERS PARTY OF AMERICA

DAILY WORKER PUBLISHING CO

THE DAILY WORKER

Editors

J? Leuis Engdahl and Wm. F. Dunne

Is the only English Communist daily newspaper in

Everyday it gives an honest picture of every step of

importance in the progress of Labor the world over, as no other newspaper does or would dare to.

as no other newspaper does or would dare to. Besides news so essential to the thinking worker

articles on every phase of working class problems and great Labor cartoons are a daily feature.

Workers' Correspondence, increasing in size, is even

becoming a more interesting section.

Every Tuesday a special T. U.E. I. page gives a picture of the militant trade union activities in this country

The Saturday issue includes a magazine soution—a pleasure to the worker who gives thought to his prob-

SUB RATES

OUTSIDE OF CHICAGO

16.00 A Year \$3.50 Six Months \$3.00 Three Months

IN CHICAGO

A Veer \$1.50 Six Months \$2.50 Three Months

フログー・デング スクーのの

Come A Cupy ... Sig Capte For Saturday Issue

A Great Leader of the Working

Class

Contributes in thesefour classics material that will aid



greatly toward a clear Communist understanding. Add to-

BY NICOLAI LENIN

t-Should Communista Participate in Renc.

S.—Amperialism, the Final Stage of Capitalism, is Canti S.—Ahe intantile Sinkness of T.eftlem" in Com-

singe and keyolidion

Th Clerita

Action thom

FME DAILY WORKER

IIII W. Washington Blvd.

Childragio, III.

MADISON WISCONSIN 59708

Class Struggle vs. Class Collaboration.

A Study of Labor Banks, the B. & O. Plan, Insurance Schemes, and "Workers" Education."

BY EARL R. BROWDER.

tion. All talk of struggle and organization is superfluous. This was a statement made at the El Paso Convention of the A. F. of L. at the close of 1924. It epitomized the whole gathering, which, supposedly representing the labor movement, really spent its entire time devising methods of betrayal, working out schemes of commercial undertaking, instrance businesses. "Jabor" banks, B. & O. plans, etc. Bl Paso was the legical next step after the declaration of class-collaboration policy at Furthand, a year before, accompanied by the expulsion of William, F. Dunne from the convention because lie was a Community and a fighter against this policy.

The Fundamental Issue Before the Unions.

Are the unions to be developed into fighting organizations and to be used to protect the workers against capitalist exploitation? Or are they to become an integral part of the inachinery of the capitalist system and thus assist the process of exploitation in the vain hope of transforming the greedy capitalists into kindly benefactors by soft words? Are the unions to be organs of class struggle or of class collaboration?

Class collaboration is not a new idea or a new practice in the American Federation of Labor. But it has been given an entire new wardrobe. It has been dressed up in bright new clothes. It has been systematized and

organized into a comprehensive program of action and furnished with a pseudo-philosophy and economics. Under the modern conditions of acute class antagonisms, of imperialist contradictions threatening new world wars, or the breakdown of capitalist production in Europe, this makes the class collaboration program and ideology the focussing point of the whole class struggle, because it is the instrument of the capitalist class within the ranks of labor.

"The interests of capital and labor are identical." This phrase has long been the watchword of the labor bureaucrats. Under this banner they have constantly betrayed the interests of labor to capital. Since the days when Mark Hadna organized his "labor lieutenants" into the Civic Federation, collaboration with the capitalist class, the policy that made Samuel Gompers powerful, has been the settled policy of the governing circles in the American Federation of Labor. The Civic Federation made an institution of the idea, and entrenched it within the highest circles of the labor movement.

The poisonous influence of the Civic Pederation upon the American labor movement, and the struggle of the rank and file against it is a subject worthy of the efforts of a good historian. The militant sections of the efforts of a good historian. The militant sections of the labor movement revolted against it, to the extent that the largest union in the A.F. of L. the United Mine Workers, wrote a special law life, it is president. John Mitchell, prohibiting any officer of the union from belonging to the Civic Federation. Any history of the American labor movement that does not head fully with the influence of and the struggle against the Civic Federation will ignere one of the determining factors in ovents, the influence of which the initiated will find it hard to realize.

Nover has there been in the past, however, an organ world drive to establish special machinery for collaboration with the capitalist class on such a grand scale as we now witness in the A. F. of L. and some independent unions All the accumulating forces of reaction in the bureau

cratic officialdom of the unions has been fused into a concerted movement all along the line to bind the labor movement hand and foot, to capitalism and its institutions.

The outstanding phases of the campaign for class collaboration are: (1) Establishment of "insurance benefits" as the dominant feature of the union's daily activity; (2) The epidemic of so-called labor banks: (3) Special schemes for collaboration in the shops for reducing costs and specific up production, such as the B. & O. plan; (4) The systematic corruption of the minds of the more intelligent workers with a philosophy of class collaboration, through the instrumentality of so-called workers education, and (5) The welding together of the entire official apparatus under the program of class collaboration, classic exposition of which was given by Samuel Gompers and Major (ico. L. Herry at the Portland Convention of the A. F. of L.

I. Trade Unionism vs. Insurance Business.

NSURANCE departments in the unions have been an established feature from the beginnings of the labor movement. In America as in England, many of the oldest labor unions began their career as simple benealt societies. This insurance feature of union activity, strongest among the unions of highly skilled workers, has for long been pushed into the background. To the extent that the benefit organizations were turned into unions, to that same extent the insurance features were subordinated, and made incidental to the prime functions of the class struggle.

This has been changed in a group of the most important unions in America, however, within the past few years. The railroad shop unions, with their disastrous strike dragging along and their entire fighting front broked up by the incompetence of their leaders, found the member, the incompetence of their leaders, found the member, ship leaving the unions by tens of thousands. Instead of meeting the threatening situation by a renewed militancy and a program of solidarity, the officialdom resur-

their appeal to the membership to raily to the unions.

"Insurance" became the watchword of the union organlars on the railroads. This signified the abandonment of the struggle. It was the beginning of the movement by the trade union leaders toward open collaboration with the employers. It threw a cold wet blanket upon the remaining enthusiasment the rank and file. It was the signal of surrender, and the membership understood it as such. The effect upon the railroad shop unions was a disintegrating one.

and file by their class collaboration schemes? Nothing. on the grand gents. ollaboration schome, is to enter the insurance business naurance achenies in the typical capitalist fashionthe unions care about the demoralization of the rank But what do the highly-paid bureaucrats at mathelon. falled because they were not organized compreown "position in society" and in continuing 11.11 onough, interested first and foremost in maintaining They The oure, according to the class looked upon the fallure of the head their their

tion antores, the following proposition? TES TESTERNICE BYOTERS rodts run from 100 per cent to more than 1,000 per cent. a annual income of the politions of dollars, that profits in o the committee recommended, and the 91 Page Couven onvention of the A. From the report of a special committee investigating THE PERMIT investments in the insurance business bring こう「コンラニではコウで、 THE PROPERTY 7° 02° 30 per cent, and Inaurance. I. (1924), we find the reason delivered to the El Paso The bureaucrate fire insurance

is the fully amended that the insurance business is the safest, surest, and most simple of centrel and management of all present commercial enterprises. We heartly enforced the principle involved and recommend that the malianal and international trade union offices study carefully the report of the special committee on this subject to the further remainded that the Fresident of the

American Federation of Labor be authorized and directed to call a roluntary conference of all national and international officers within the coming year, for such action on this important proposal as shall appeal to the best judgment of those attending."

So, accepting the failure of the attempt to reduce the unions to their former primitive state as benefit societies, the bureaucrats controlling the highest offices in the labor movement have decided—to use the same idea of life insurance, but in the more up-to-date fasilion of going directly into the business on capitalist lines, thus turning the labor unions into auxiliaries of the banking interests that centrol the insurance business, in return for a share in the profits.

II. Labor Banks as Substitutes for Labor Unions

His mania for labor banks began about the same to revive the insurance features of the unions. Will the unions disintegrating, and the income from per-capita tax dropping at a terrific rate, the job-holders in the union offices frantically cast about for new sources of income. The kindly Providence that looks after the interests of labor fakers in distress inspired the idea of labor banks.

At the III Faso Convention it was reported that 30 such labor banks are now operating, with resources totalling \$150,000,000, while about 60 more are in process of organization. They are participated in by most of the unions independent and A. F. of L., although the organizational initiative has come mainly from the railroad and needle trades unions. It will be impossible to deal with this multiplicity of organizations and all their peculiarities in this little pamphlet, but some of the best known of them can be characterised quite definitely, and the most important tendencies of the labor bank movement made clear.

Probably the best known is the bank of the Brother hood of Locomotive Engineers, headed by Warren S.

Stone. This is but one of the many ventures into the field of finance and industry made by (Varieu S. Stone with the treasury of the Locomotive Engineers' Union. Another is the mining field in West Virginia, about which Stone has engaged in a bitter controversy with the Ulted Mine Workers of America, because he has refused to recognize the miners union.

Warren Stone, through this "labor bank," has become one of the directors of the Empire Trust Co. of New York, one of the units of the Money Trust of America. He is warmly received in banking circles, and applicated for his "sound business judgment," for his conservative union policy, and for his general humony with his banking associates. This particular "labor bank" has decumulated close to \$50,000,000 deposits; rumor has it that a considerable part of this comes from the Henry Word Interests, although this comes from the Henry Word interests, although this cannot be definitely verified up the affairs of the Locomotive Engineers with the machiners of Wall Street so closely that it is question, able if it should still be called a labor union.

The next best known labor bank is the Anadgumated Trust and Savings liank of the Amalgamated Clothing Workers. This one is the slagte bank of the whole swarm that has been tinged with any real proletarian almosphere, which it, gained through the fact that its initiation was noder the same general policy which lattached the Russian-American buttakeled Corporation for participation to the reconstruction of the Soviet tussian tarment industry. It quickly shed it workings class temperates, however, and is now little different from the other labor banks.

The Amalgamated Cinthing Workers' officials, at the time of launching their bank, were following a policy distinctly a step to the left of the American labor movement as a whole. Hightwing influences were even then struggling to bring the uplon into line with the reactionary A. F. of L. officialdem, and have succeeded to a considerable degree in the year 1924. There is no doubt

that the bank was a factor in awinging the administration away from their left orientation and establishing unquestioned class collaboration as the official polloy of the union. The Russian-American Industrial Corporation was neglected and allowed to be undeveloped, while the bank turned away from co-operation with the revolutionary Russian workers to the more increative collaboration with the bourgeoiste.

andled to account for this, Davidson, socretury of the condeption of unionium, that during the providential election, while Johnston, president of the union, was the nation, explained that this olvoular was "morely oppled palgu, and supporting Coolidge for producat. Bank sont out a circular ridionling the LaPollette camprincipal labor backer of LaPollette, the Mount Vernon polley is so completely at war with even the mildest of the union tremmary, to in the hands of outside private delegates that the Mount Vorson Savings Bank, supposed in Detroit (1984) it was brought out by anti-administration lineacht combine), from a stunderd edrouber sont out by the National City interests, to the extent of 51 per cent of the stock. lank of New York" (ogkler of the Ronkefeller-Morgan During the recent convention of the Machiniata, Union be a "lubor bunk" because it was launched by means When

A prize epecimen of labor hank is that started, in Cincionall by the Railway Cherta' Union. The first large deposit in this "labor bank." amounting to \$60,000, was made by the Baltimore and Ohio Rullroad Company. The profits from this bank that go to uphoid the doorying official muchine of the clerks' uplon are made from the money deposited by the rullroad corporations against which the union is supposed to be fighting. It is easy to langue just how much milltancy can be expected from a union leadership that depends for its revenue on the day-to-day good will of the rullroad corporations.

Labor banks are developing an elaborate public propaganda, elreviated especially among businessmen, to justify their existence—from the point of view of the

businessmen. The loudest note sounded in this propagance is, that labor banks make the workers good and contented slaves, soothe discontent, prevent the spread of Bolshevism, and "help business" generally. A sample of this propaganda is seen in the story, widely syndicated in the Hearst Press, given out by H. V. Boswell, vice-president of the Engineers' bank in New York. It says, among other things:

"Who wants to be a Bolshevik when he can be a capitalist instead? We have shown how to mix oil and water; how to reconcile capital and labor. Instead of standing on a corner soapbox, screaming with rage because the capitalists own real estate, bank accounts and automobiles, the engineer has turned in and become a capitalist himself. Now it stands to reason, doesn't it, that such men won't start any movement to destroy property or ruin big business? Why, only last spring we bought a substantial interest in the Empire Trust Company of New York City. If you could have seen Schwab, Heckscher, and the lecomotive engineers seated around the directors table, you'd have recognized the whole scene as an entirely new turn in what used to be called the 'fight between capital and labor.'

Without question the "fight" between capital and labor will be stopped by the labor banks—to the degree that the labor banks succeed in subordinating labor to capital. It is already an established policy boasted about in labor conventions, that labor hanks will have nothing to downth strikes, because strikes are "unprolitable."

The hrotherhood of hallway clarman is a union handed by Marian F. Ityan, a man who demonstrated his "Bulle and genius" by building up a treasury of saveral million deflars for the arganization, by refusing to pay strike beneats to the siriling members of the union. He built a new kind of labor bank—a private facilitation, but built with the money of the naion of which he is the head. The Carmen have lost most of their members as a result of Ryan's union policies, dropping from about 200,000 members to less than 15,000. But they continue to pay

per capita to the A. F. of L. on about 100,000 out of the profits of their president's private bank!

parcel of the eastpatga for class collaboration in the make good in the wage and hour atruggle. They countly chil interests. They have no independent strongth of offletible. They lay the unions helplom before the finanfute a monage to the labor movement, and are part and longthou the tenure of office of union leaders unable to their own at all. They are make alift expedients to They enormously increme the power of the bankrupt American nulona, teristic. They are instruments of class collaboration. rank and file mombership. That is their concuttal characa powerful bureaucracy attll more independent of the They remove the autom further from the rank and file. dealgned to belster up a failing eillichtdom or to make what we know about the labor banks, that they are We can make the positive conclusion, on the back of

III. The "B. & O. Plan" for Deathcying Unionian.

which gave birth to the naw pantemptay of leadership labor banks, etc., has come the infamous "Battlaners and this Plan" of class collaboration. Originated by O. S. Beyot. Jr., an efficiency engineer who worked in the argential dering the war for the dovernment, this scheme was "sold" to Wm. II. Johnston, president of the machine bits ushin, as the means of getting the union on the good side of the railroad corporations, avoiding such unplousement things as afrikes, and insuring a ready flow of percapits that to the union efficas.

Writing in November, 1933, about this plan, all the time when it received it first public announcement, the author of this planchiet pointed but the true enters of this gebene of thating up the unions with the companies, in a softless which was later distributed on a leader throughout the which was later to the number of more than a hundred thousand applies. The rathroad workers whre quick to see the meanes of the ft. & G. Plant, and a wide-

motal and railroad industries for the left wing. Just as this pamphiet is being written, news comes that the machinists local union of the Glenwood Shops, B. & O. Railroad where the scheme has been in operation for sufficient proof that the left wing agitation against the B. & O. Plan has been solidly based in the needs of the railroad workers.

What is this plan that has earned so much praise from the capitalist, and aroused such a storm of resentment among the workers? It is an agreement whereby the union purchases recognition from railroad management by supplying efficiency engineers, who, with the authority of the union behind them, speed up production, eliminate waste, reduce the cost of production, and eliminate desirable workers, and union working rules that hamper efficiency in profit making.

The unions of the railroad shopmen will, if the H. & O. Plan is not rejected by the workers, soon become ndimets of the administrations of the various roads and their days as militant organizations of the workers come to a close

The first public anadomedment of the "co-operation" N. G. A. St. Louis and reported in Labor, the railroad and he spoke for most of the office the shop unlous to the companies should be only efficiency auxiliaries to rome should be of the enterior should be only squeeze from mon-union. The senses is the corporations greater profits the return for recognition of the union.

The scheme is called the H & O Plan because it was income experts to show the bosses how to get more work of their members. The idea imperlying our service to show the bosses how to get more work in the income and Ohio, said M. Johnstone, may be an income and income the income in the income of the income in t

tions which have coltracts with these railroads to furnish, let us say, arch-brick, superheaters, stokers or
corporating oil." The union, in short, becomes a supply
business of selling labor just as another corporation may
other commodity-selling organization, and engages to
deliver more work for less cost than non-union labor can;
The egrees of the section of the scheme.

The effect of this proposition, it established through out the valironal industry, will be to eliminate unionism altogether. It is a method for the railroad employers to tag purposes, it is a direct competitor of the "company the for them that the bosses that it will be more effective for them than even such organizations formed by their paid agents.

Johnston says on this point: "I want to simplicate as strongly as I know how the fact that in the task of besitive co-operation in the railroad industry there can be no substitute for the unions of the railroad employees." And later: "I maintain that such a management would never again, as long as it retains its good from the scheme of things on its roads."

The "open shop" drive is to be stopped, in other words, don't like, Make the unions just the kind of organizations that the comployers want, make the unions profitable to the capitalists rather than to the workers, and then "It with the employers. It is all so simple:

The prime motive for action of the reactionary officials.

dom of the shop unions is the desire to remain "leaders" with secure and confortable positions. They were afraid forced by a militant rank and his to go along with the shopmen's strike of 1922. They sahotaged the striggle, however, by complete failure to have any centralized.

organization, and not the slightest move for unity of the of their handiwork-because it threatened to lose them of the strike they became panic-stricken at the result railroad men generally for the struggle. After the failure plans of battle, an entire absence of commissary or relie

operation" with the management is the result. to go—to the employers. And there they went. "Coance and fraternal features of the unions. This miserably "solling talk" of insurance salesmen, boosting the insurfalled. The efficialdom, bankrupt, because afraid of ing of. They tried to reorganize the unions with the gamation of the railroad unions-they would have noth-The one measure that could save the situation—amal-

operation is the co-operation of the lion with the lamb, with the railroad companies in their usual role of hon-Such carlerable of the American labor movement muddle headedness or corruption of the of Wall Street is another demonstration of the complete That a section of the labor press should echo the pleasure hat the labor movement is hamstrung for some time to fully set up, with the aid of Johnston and Co. It means workers and capitalists. They may well rejoice, for, it loyously announced a new era of fraternity between Johnston's proposals as "statesmanlike," and have It is no miracle that the capitalist press has greeted institutions of class collaboration can be success-The capitalists should smile, because this "codominating

o prove that the plan is poison to uniquism and meat printed facts about it to show why they liked the capitalist press greeted the B. & O. Plan with as This testimony of the capitalist press is enough 0 45 3 40 0

いっちのではるので waire their cemierity rights. Can you beat that for leaders persuaded the imexperienced workers

This is one of the high points of an article in "Collier's

to give up their seniority rights whenever these stand for using the "leaders" of the workers to persuade them workers. One of the features is the methods it provides It throws a vivid light upon what the plan means for the Weekly." July 5th, 1924, extelling the B. & O.

the B. & O. Plan. "you get a box of cigars. And three minutes before startlu' time, you'll find 'em with their aprons on, just awarin' to go!" This, from a booster what do they mean for workers? Another line or two from this "bbost" for Johnston's plan will reveal someunion meh. Speed-up systems are fine for profits, but for the subeme, should rouse serious in the way of higher profits.
"If one of 'em so much as slows down before the whistle blows," brags a foreman about his workers under thought among

you, not one of these gange is working by piece rate!" thing else that it means! "It is through such leaders that several groups of who prove unfriendly to the new plan or unable to keep workers have asked their foremen to discharge workers

for the railroad workers generally! How the railroad capitalists should love this plan! But why should the workers enjoy it? the pase. What a wonderful recommendation of the plan force forement to discharge the workers unable to keep So! The unions are changed into instruments to

baye been telling about how it would give the workers a "democratio" representation in the management. Does it." "Collier's" describes the "democracy" in these Black Boosters for the plan inside the machinists' union describes the "democracy" Ju these

ing up, lower costs, more work, discharge of workers by When somebody says. 'Well Uncle Daniel Willard says it's so and so,' that's where the argument stops."
This "Uncle Dan" is president of the H & O railway. of the union, which this rotten class collaboration spells their fellows, diversion, disruption, suspicion, and decay He has the last word. So long as the plan means speed WOLK OLG wherever it is put into offect

Dan" will smile and give his approval. But if the workers should take their "democracy" seriously, and ask for an increase in wages—"that's where the argument stops."

IV. Educating the Workers to Collaboration.

It has been evident to the bureaucratic officials of the trade unions that it was necessary for them to overcome the instinctive reaction; of the workers against the various collaboration genenes, especially is their newer and more brazen forms. To this end there has been built up a vast machinery of "education," to overcome the "prejudices" of the rank and file. This gigantle plot to poison the minds of the workers goes under the pleasant name of "Workers' Education."

The long-established class-collaboration policy of the officialdom of the labor movement in America has, in the past been of a rough, rule-of-thumb nature, based upon temporary expedients to meet immediate structions. Thus it has been possible for these unions, despite the character of their leadership, to develop tremendous mass struggles against the capitalist class, by the cantus in the pressure of mass demands. The old bureaucrats, with their crude and unitablelligent mathrials, could not organize their battayal on a long time and aystematic basis. They were look stopid to completely choke the

What we see, however, the so-ealled workers education movement, is the combination of a keep, middle-chaslatedleshalism with the old-time bureaucracy of the trade unions, which threatens to render the new class collaborationism much more deadly than the old.

Asting as the official conter of the movement in the Workers' Education Bureau, in which Malthew Wolf is the political commission of the A. F. of L. bureaugracy (with the able againmage of much stalwarts as John F. Frey).

The watchword of this institution is, in the words of its technical allector. Spencer Miller, "plie power of labor consists in its power to serve, and not to dominate." Fo keep labor in the position of servitude, to discourage

these are the positive and negative sides of the mession of the Vorkers' Education Bureau, the center of chasses of the Norkers' Education Bureau, the center of chasses collaborationist education in America. An a symbol of its subservient attitude toward the capitalist ruling class, its directors bave, in the 1934 year-book busied by them, placed in a prominent position is faculated of a letter from Alfred E. Smith, Tanunumy Covernor of New York State.

Allilated with the Worleys' Education Bureau, but supposedly representing a more "radical" tendency, to the throckwood School, a resident labor colloge for aspiritud a brockwood School, a resident labor colloge for aspiritud a placero dealro, when it atarted, to been out of the trade cuttering of the reactionary officialism of the trade unions! But, asal, the struggle was too hard, and it is more and more deprived by the same color as the furcial the colors and more dealth; by the same color was the furcial colors of the colors collaboration ideal of 'soluention.' It was no accident that there was included a special course on the H. & O. Plan, by its technical father, O. El. Beyer, Jr., designed to train agents to go out and "soll" the player, Jr., designed to train agents to go out and "soll" the player.

Text Books of Slavery.

In the process of educating the workers to accept obtain collaboration, the Workers' Education Dureau is insuling text-books. The hourt of their philosophy, the burnose of their work, is discovered, is one of them, The Centrel of Wages, written by Walted Hamilton and Sincy May. It is a text-book of sheary, it is intelligental polson for the working stans.

Dieverly written and avoiding much of the dry and humorless style mand in such books, the philosophy of class collaboration that it contains is all the more dangerous to the labor movement. It bears the mane relation to trade anion theory that the collaboration achieves of Wm. II. Johnston & Co. bears to the trade union practice. It amounts in substance to an elaborate echemic of justification in the language of economics.

prevailing standard of wages. that by these means the labor unions, may raise the is held out, as bait upon the class collaboration hook, science for the prostitution of labor unionism to the function of efficiency auxiliaries to capitalism. The hope

ments), has flexible limits." of these, an attempt to get more out of resources (through increased efficiency and technical improve-If it is overdone . . . it defeats it own end. The second is paid. The first of these has a very definite limit. through an increase of the wealth out of which all inequie expense of other groups in the community; the other welfare of the laborer can be increased. One is at the tained in the following words. "It will be well to remember that there are only two ways in which the material The fundamental thesis of Hamilton and May is con-

book on wages. message of the Workers' Education Bureau and Ita text the key to wages is class collaboration. Such is the the product of industry. The class struggle is unuthoun; for all workers is described in proposals for increasing while the smooth broad road to comfort and affluence while the expense of property Incoment. disappointment are given to those workers who would Throughout the book grave warnings of disaster and

provided, elecking it up with known facin of American He quality of the economic "education" that kladoe at industry and with the thudminental economics tankit by

Relations Between Production and Wayer.

of inereaging by any part of the inovensed production, capita; but during the same period roul waged, instead from 1899 to 1920 by approximately 30 per cent per argument. Production Ingreased in the United States. figures (pp. 145-146) which holls the conclusions of their increased wages? Ramilton and May themselves give quention of whether increased production is a source or What is the auswer of Auterlann experience to the

> stubhorn facts. any confort for the class collaborationists from these from 10 per cont to netually declined to 30 per cent. an extent variously astimated at It is hard to obtain

ornitonist theory is not supported by the existing facts. various roasous, far boldend stook shows that the collabrapidly undergoing the same transformation, is still, for with those in the building industry which, although and the machine process are developed to a high degree, between wages in the steel industry, where organization tries should pay the highest wards, i A casual comparison May, the most highly organized and mechanized induswage rutes? According to the phoory of Hamilton and tivonous of improved industrial/ technique in ratsing What has American experience to say us to the effec-

huproved tochulque has not been a source of ligher wages than so the workers in the sides industry. building trades workers there shorter hours and receive conditions, have more control of their John, etc. The edge that the latter enjoy infinitely believ worlding \$8.00 to \$13.00 per day. It is a matter of common knowlcomprise the bulk of the building workers, to range from Bureau, for December, 1923, shows the wage rates in the building trades for all the large ellies, which together \$5.00 per day; while the Monthly Lather Roylow, of the fuduatry, for one particular week, to be approximately 1923, shown the average wage of Werkers in the steel A Bulletin of the Bureau of Luber\Stathtlen, December, Increased

nystem, as it would be for them to go upon the opposite increased wages by increased output under the capitalist observation. It is us incorrect for the workers to expect both an discovered by theoretical gradyals and direct Ignores the fundamental theters that determine wages, theory in true, litther atalenment of the case, however, more plausibility that the appealte of the collaborationist no tendoncy to increme wages. It might be argued with production and development of technique have The above facts are convincing tostlineny that in and attempt to limit production and provent

technical progress for the purpose of increasing wages

fails even more miserably than the others. "selling tactics" on the labor market. But the argument It attempts to make class collaboration appear as good up his vicious scheme, with current notions of economics. stokers or lubricating oil," is a clumsy attempt to hook railroads to furnish, let us say, arch-brick, superheaters, supply corporations which have contracts with these the engineering service extended to the railroads by large service may be compared to the idea which underlies of railroad executives in St. Louis, has already been menappear to be sound in economic theory, which was made by Wm. H. Johnston in his speech before a The pitiful attempt to make class collaboration policies His statement "that the idea underlying our gathering

solid explanations of Marx in order to get the full meas ure of the worthlessness of the class collaborationist This intellectual rubbish should be contrasted with the

The Marxian Theory of Wage

market until they receive a certain standard of living. of the workers to withhold their labor-power from the above all to the organized social and industrial power requirements of the labor process, the immediate supply and demand, the general level of technology, etc., but of small sections of workers, according to the technical reproduce) it. This is subject to variation from the mined by the amount of labor required to produce (and commodity "labor power," the price (wage) is deterbarest subsistence, of fless, to the comparative comfort of a commodity is determined by the duration of the labor required for its manufacture." In the case of the fundamental of the Marxian theory of value. "The price principle is elaborately worked out in Capital, being a lates the price of any other commodity," said Marx (Wage-Labor and Capital, Kerr Edition, p. 19). "Wages are determined by the same law which regu-

in their efforts to control wages, is thus clearly seen to The only effective point of attack for the workers,

> ture of economic theory that scarcely requires refutation. its sellers is weakened—such a proposition is a caricademand of industry, while the control of the supply by power, as a policy for the raising of wages, under capitalism, is absurd. To propose to increase the price of turn increases the available supply in relation to the labor-power, by increasing its productivity, which in Johnston scheme of increasing the productivity of laborlabor-power as a commodity, any justification for the ployers: To attempt to find, in the examination of be their organized power, used in struggle with the em-

ments of the particular industry, and both decrease pro factors, such as the organized power of the workers. of technical culture in the absence of compensating rata with the increase of production upon a given level production. Both are incidental to the technical require-Neither has any necessary relation to the volume of a steam-engine operator than for a hand-loom operator. nance costs are higher for a steam-engine than for a hand-loom, and the labor-maintenance cost is higher for higher in the one country than in the other, and the fundamental reason in each case is the same, Maintenthe difference caused by the greater capability and oppor-tunity for organization of the workers under machine figures for equipment repairs and maintenance, plus meaning, so far as wages and their control go, as the industry. Repairs and maintenance as well as wages are with countries of handicraft industry, have the same wages in dountries of machine production, as compared drive for more production." No such conclusion is warranted by an examination of the matter. Higher methods prevail, they think they have scored a smashing argument that "labor can afford to lead in the popular oped machine industry, than in countries where primitive generally higher in those countries with a highly-devel-When the collaborationists point out that wages are

vicious practices that naturally flow from them, serve the interests of the employing class and the These class collaboration theories, together with the

bureaucracy, but their effect upon the working class is disastrous. Its fighting spirit, as well as its ability to put up an effective fight, are gradually and subtly undermined. The unions are transformed, step by step, into "production departments," and the authority of capitalist administration begins to reach over from the workshop into the union hall. Labor, as an independent power, fighting the encroachments of predatory capitalism, and fealously protecting the interests of the workers, is eliminated from industry. Class collaboration is fatal to militant labor organization.

of the trade unions but at the same time it increases the fighting power of the employers. How ridiculous it is to tell the workers that their wages are to be increased through improvements in the technique of production, when all about them they see that it is precisely the most highly mechanized industries that have eliminated all effective labor unionism and used the higher technique all effective example, not to speak of the textile trust the automobile combines, the rubber industry and others panied by concentration of capital, which is immediately upon the workers' sorganizations.

Control of wages is indeed a vital problem to the working class. But we have seen that the text book of the class collaborationists has contributed nothing more than confusion to the solution of it. Unfortunately there is no broad, well-lighted boulevard that leads the workers organization and struggle. All the attempts of the apostles of class-peace, class-collaboration, and social fight are, in result if not in intention, gross betrayals of the interests of the working class. Control of wages is to be obtained only through control of the whole process government. Every specific wage is to be increased only

wage is to be controlled only through the widest political organization and struggle of the whole working class. Class struggle, and not class collaboration, leads to the emancipation of the toiling masses. And to effectively poisonous "economics" of the workers must reject the whole system of ideas.

Collaboration Under the Cloak of Socialism.

Latest recruits to the advocates of collaboration of the working class with capitalism, along the lines of the B. & O. Plan, labor banks, etc., are the erstwhile Intercollegiate Socialists, now the "industrial democrats," who publish an organ called Labor Age. The group consists of well-intentioned, educated, and more, or less who, are quite determined, come from the middle class who, are quite determined, come what may, that Labor shall be elevated, made "self-respecting," given some level of culture,

It would be ungenerous not to preface a cold blooded examination of the objective functions of such groups as that gathered about the Labor Age with an appreciation of their good intentions. They mean well. They are overflowing with love for their oppressed fellow men. They are bursting with desire to help the world out of to a fault, Iteenly intelligent within specialized fields, and withall, quite pleasing folk with whom to spend an idle hour.

Unfortunately, however, the actual life of the world runs along upon another plane entirely from that inhabited by this middle-class intelligentzia. With the result that, entering into practical affairs of the labor movements, these advocates of "industrial democracy" become tools of the most sinister influences at work within the trade unions of America today. This is glaringly illustrated in the Labor Age for March, 1924, which is devoted to eulogizing Johnston's scheme for turning the

unions over to the bosses, daubing it over with the pink paint of "workers' participation in management" to make it more attractive, or to use another metaphor, coating the poisonous pill of class collaboration with sugary arguments of "industrial democracy." The result upon these immature minds unable to piece through to reality is complete confusion, delivering them helpless before the agents of capitalism, the official brusaucracy of the trade unions.

"If Labor hopes to get control of industry, it must learn how to conduct management as a group. This gives the opportunity." Yes, Labor must learn to manage industry, but how? Your answer, dear 'democratic' children, does not answer. Because you have twisted the problem exactly hind-end-to, you have headed yourselves straight into the capitalist camp.

The only correct statement of the problem of workers' control, is: "If Labor hopes to learn how to conduct management as a group, it must get control of industry." The way to learn management is to manage; to manage presupposes first control: the only way to control is to

build up strong and powerful industrial unions closely united with a powerful and militant political organization. The pitiful "control" the collaborationists propose, works in the opposite direction, eating the heart out of

Corruption of Progressives.

It would be hardly worth while to give special attention to Labor Age, the arguments of which are mostly echoes of the official propaganda, but for the article therein by Lever. Brother Lever is a progressive who, in the past, has stood staunchly for amalgamation. Now he has swallowed the colluboration bait, but is busy trying to reconcile it with a "militant program" and with amalgamation. Beyer has aroused Lever's "creative instinct," is already dreaming that he works under a proletarian system where the problem is no longer one of struggle against the capitalist class, but of building up the indus-

tries by the workers.

There is no doubt that the engineer Beyer would be very valuable man for the railroad workers—where they were actually in control of the railroads, for instance, in Russia; and if he could be induced to serve the working class when it is in control as well as he now serves the capitalist class. But the "socialist" union politician Beyer is a very corrupting influence for the railroad workers in America where the workers are only taking the first feeble steps toward power. Corruption is the only word that descibes a process that transforms Brother Lever, militant progressive and advocate of amalgamation, into apologist for the poisonous collaboration scheme of Wm. H. Johnston.

man trade unions are being destroyed, would destroy our organizations as the Italian and Gerporting every influence in American trade unionism that a year ago the German workers had become so disilluunions gave up the revolution for the Arbeitsgemein-schaften-(equivalent to the Johnston scheme, on a vaster endorsing the Johnston scheme you are indirectly supworkmen, not Communists. And do you know. Brother collaboration scheme. officialdom, to withdraw from participation in the class sioned that they voted, over the opposition of the entire scale), but today their unions are destroyed and even fascist tendency among the higher officials? You should in our unions today, there is also developing a definite the Arbeitsgemeinschaften are taken away. More than know these things, and you should also know that by Lever, that in addition to the usual capitalist influences Where are the Italian workers today? promise of "factory committees" whereby they could when the Italian unions gave up militant struggle for a ment in Italy began to come to power at the moment earn the "technique and management of industry?" Do you know, Brother Lever, that the Fascist move-These were Social-Democratic The German

The masses of union members in the railroad and metal industries, where the collaboration schemes are being pushed, are just beginning to take stock after

are tired and discouraged. They are sick of the old tactics and leadership that brought disaster, and they demand a change. Progressives and revolutionaries have been received with acclaim by great audiences, when the presented the program of amalgamation, industrial unionism, militant leadership, political action through a revolutionary class party, and all the measures that alone can lead forward from defeat toward victory. More than half the membership of the railroad unions have demanded amalgamation as the result of this great campaign.

to find itself betrayed, and helpless in the hands of the "Socialist" bottle. They run away from the fight, not realizing that they are preparing for themselves, "and collaboration soothing syrup when it is given in a peace," under a scheme where the workers will get all capitalists. times more bitter when the working class finally awakens men as Brother Lever, fall under the influence of the for the whole labor movement, a struggle a thousand otherwise. And a few of them, even such intelligent do not want to fight if they can obtain their demands collaboration. They sing the siren songs of "industrial they want without fighting for it. Of course the workers are cleverly endeavoring to turn the weariness and disillusionment of the masses into the channels of class But the officials are sabotaging amalgamation. They

The Struggle Against Class Collaboration Is:a.
Struggle for Revolutionary Leadership.

make no mistake about this—there is no middle of the road course between the demoralizing, disruptive, disastrous policies of collaboration with the capitalist class, on the one hand, and the path of revolutionary class struggle on the other.

Our movement has had experience with the timid progressives," who in words are loudly against the reactionary leaders, but who, when a decisive moment arrives, turn

to Brother Stolberg nor original with him, it may be is interesting, if true, and as the judgment is not confined worth while to examine its validity. sives in it under cover of the official oligarchy." labor movement by driving all the liberals and progreswing has done "irreparable damage to the American grossives. he reflects the position of the trade union's timid protitled "The Mouse That Frightens Hughes," wherein printed in Hearst's International for March (1924), enproblems of the labor movement which brings this out clearly is found in an article by Benjamin Stolberg, class collaboration. Every worker must make his choice. between the revolutionary class struggle or reactionary one for all who seek a half-way-course. The issue is come from such people. A sample of the so-called progressive attitude to the towards greater power for the working class can never reactionary officialdom. name, are camouflaged followers and servants of the most and run. In reality such progressives, if they deserve the He comes to the conclusion that the left Progress from the trade unions But their role is the inevitable

Brother Ben has a superficial keenness in observing tacts, and a certain honesty in setting down some of them, that is commendable. Who, for example, could gressives than does Ben, when he points out that they are all getting "under cover of the official oligarchy?" Stolberg's article, therefore, has some merit in these temptible cowardice on the part of the "progressives" It is true that meat of the part of the services.

It is true that most of the so-called progressives have run to cover of the official oligarchy. They have frantically broken away from all commitments not 100 per cent official." Some of them have even gone Wm. J. Burns a point better in denouncing the left wing. They have been frightened by something, quite evidently, and Stolberg says the red-flag-waving and Bolshevik ritual is the mouse that caused our "progressives" to run to

Mamma Gompers' arms. If that were true, it would constitute a most damning indictment of the progressives; it would convict them of an immaturity, a timidity of shadows, that would make all their pretensions profoundly ridiculous.

respectable the next day. They could not bear to taken seriously. a bit of harmless sporting, with everything perfectly maternal shelter. They never meant really to get away cracy. The glimpse of reality was too much from home; they were only out for an evening's lark, for progressives; they ran shricking in fear back to the the old platonic sham-battle, with the Gompers bureauwith a willingness to accept a leading and responsible part by the progressives. But it meant a real break, not them, provided only a little fighting spirit was displayed could easily be achieved by those who said they were for that Amalgamation, and other mild measures of progress, gressives" had talked for. Suddenly it was wing militants peep at which maligns them. gressives are running away from shadows. Stolberg It is not true, however, that the panic-stricken pro actually fighting for the things the "prowas given them when they saw the left They are frightened of reality, the first apparent 101

There is one fundamental trouble with these progressive friends of ours—they want progress only if they can get it for nothing. They will not pay any price for it. In fact, they become as indignant at a suggestion of risking anything in a fight, as they do at a suggestion that they are not genuine progressives. But sadly it must be recorded, that these timid progressives are not progressives at all. Always, when they come up squarely against a situation that calls for decision and action, the only real test of progressivism, they falt, waver, and run away. They fly to the "cover of the official oligarchy." They make the same decision that Ben Stolberg makes in his article: "Gompers is right." Their progressivism is a fake.

There must be however, a deeper reason for the

wholesale flight of the progressive chickens to Gomper's, sheltering wings, other than merely a fear-reaction away from the primitive stirrings of the rank and file and away from all positive action. That more fundamental explanation is to be found in the growing bitterness of the class struggle, in the crisis now developing within the entire capitalist system/ which draws the class lines ever tighter. Our "progressives," who are now progressing backward, do not want to be on either side of the barricade; they want to be on friendly terms with the whole world; but the fight has grown too hot, so they choose—capitalism and its agents in the persons of Gompers, Lewis, et al.

Stolberg merely voices the infantile judgment of this whole class of progressives, when he sides with Lewis against the left wing of the Miners' Union. The progressive Committee was organized in the U. M. W. A., he complains, although that is already an industrial union; but the left wing "hates and distrusts the ruthless tactics, of President Lewis. This reason is as inadequate as it is foolhardy, for Lewis is a bad man to be against."

against," and so he joins them. The timid progressives same argument: Fayette County, the unionists of Herrin. Lewis uses the lighters in the McLachlan, the wing is not against Lewis, then it is against the bes ears of the Civic Federation, President Coolidge, man to be against also, but if one is not against Gary Stolberg, and his liberal friends approve. Gary is a bad Wm. J. Burns. "Lewis is a bad man to be against," then one is against the steel workers; and if the left union, while he softly whispers his confidences into the program of exterminating 200,000 members of his own tors. It is because he ruthlessly adopts the employers ship of his own union but soft as much to the coal opera it is because he is ruthless against the militant memberleft wing is not against bewis because he is ruthless— Brother Stolberg should know (if he does not) that the West Virginia militants, the battlers miners' organizations, "The Coal Kings are bad men to against Howat, and

may be willing to allow Lewis to sell out the industrial union of the miners, and to crush the militant rank and file, but the real progressives within the United Mine Workers will spit upon such progressivism.

Inner-Union Struggle Is the Class Struggle.

employers. being felt, the union officialdom is giving way to the result; in almost every industry where unemployment is officials are more than ever subservient to the employers, because they are more afraid of the rank and file. As a struggle against the employers, because the membership oligarchy in the unions is more than ever afraid of guards, and break down unionism generally. The official by the employers to rescue wages, destroy union gafeship is becoming more bitter, not because anyone has decreed that it be so, but because the struggle between American industry again, and with it comes the pressure more intense. the working class and the capitalist class is becoming the trade unions and the militant section of the members Struggle between the official oligarchy at the head of more conscious of its interests than before; the Unemployment is increasingly entering

official oligarchy protects capitalism against the workers, while the union bureaucracy stands in the way. If the then the class struggle will inevitably find its first workers find it impossible, to attack the employing class is a primary fact in the class struggle, because the sections of the working class. The inner-union struggle coal mining are the most active and class-conscious stimulus that the membership of the needle trades and than in other more backward one; with the added these two "advanced" sections of the labor movement berg cannot see, why the left wing is more active in most to the employers. these two industries that the officials are surrendering trades that unemployment is most felt today. It is in It is precisely in the mining industry and the needle That is the reason, which Stol-

expression in struggle against this union officialdom ar

Headquarters of Reaction.

subordinated to the claims of capitalist private property beneath a coating of soft words. Berry's four points are union struggle, with the kernel of meaning hidden second with the program of war upon the militants, and a bold and brutal statement that the unions are to b statement is a suave and oily repudiation of the trade the third with his notorious four points. with his proclamation for "industrial democracy," the Lewis, and Major Berry were the spokesmen, the firs oration with the capitalists. Samuel Gompers, John L had been given to the whole drive for systematic collab Convention of the A. F. of L. the final official blessing; not change the policies he formulated. at Portland the year before. The death of Gompers wil tion, the groundwork for which had been thoroughly laid at El Paso marked the consolidation and extension of the new, modernized, up-to-date schemes of class collabora The convention of the American Federation of Labor At the Portland Gompers

Fresh from the battle in New York where Berry had crushed the Pressmen's strike on the daily newspapers, this doughty American Legionist walked into the A. F. of L. Convention where he was hailed as a conquering hero. What was the meaning of Berry's acts in New York and the stormy enthusiasm of his welcome at the keynote of his speech was this:

"We stand for four great principles governing industry. These are the ownership of property, an adequate return on investment, an adequate sum allowed industry for the matter of deterioration, and that all workers including managers get proper compensation for what they put into industry."

John L. Lewis, president of the United Mine Workers, was another keynote speaker in this official gathering of the collaborators with the employing class. Speaking

after a period when the U. M. W. of A. had found its very existence threatened by the employers, the whole speech of Lewis was an appeal for the official program of collaboration. Lewis boasted of his destruction of the Kansas Union, District 14, and the expulsion of Howat. He prided himself upon assisting the British Empire Steel Corporation to break the strike in Nova Scotia, on the ground of sacredness of contracts, Ignoring the fact that even his sacred contract was not violated there. He was another living symbol, through his obedience to the orders of BESCO in Nova Scotia, of the newly-consolidated program of collaboration.

Gompers' manifesto was more discreet than the utterances of his rough-neck lieutenants, but its meaning was just as definite. A few quotations will outline the entire policy, and show how it links together all these various chains in the campaign for complete subordination of the unions to capitalism. The following are key sentences from the marifests.

sentences from the manifesto:

"We feel the hour has struck for a pronouncement of the aims of labor that shall more nearly express the full implications of trade uniquish than has yet been undertaken. The close of the war harked for us a turning point in human relations, and threw into bold relief the inadequacy of existing forms and institutions. Through the muddling conflict of groups (workers vs. capitalists.—Ed.) who still find it impossible to come together in co-operation, we must look to a future that must have it foundation upon co-operation and collaboration. Trade unionism must lead the way even at the cost of being branded as reactionary."

Masses Swing to Left, Officialdom to Right.

The superficial observer of events in the labor movement judges from the facts above recited and countless others of a similar nature, that the labor movement is becoming more and more reactionary, that the masses are being brought under the control of capitalism more and more completely than ever before. Such a judgment

is mistaken indeed, for the very opposite is true. The basic reason for this concerted swing to the right of the officialdom, for this studied and systematic co-operation with all the varying forces and institutions of capitalism, is the fact that the masses are swinging to the reactionary officialdom cannot go along with the broad, clean break with their peaceful past. They are either seeking nothing but a peaceful office life with a secure rank and file unrest is one of fear, and retreat to the masters, the capitalist employers. That the collaboration scheme, their seeking not to the masters, the capitalist employers.

of the left wing elements throughout the labor movement file for the regeneration of the labor movement. duty of every revolutionary worker to join in this task The left wing has perfected its methods of work, crystal of the Trade Union Educational League, the organization realize the menace of the reactionary policies of lized its programs, and is rapidly mobilizing the rank and concerted struggle against them, are joining in the work collaboration, and who seriously wish to engage in a will cost the greatest in working class sweat and blood time it must be combatted as the most dangerous and insidious enemy of the working class, and the one that to overcome. surely as water must seek its lowest level. In the meanindustrial order, only the politically feeble-minded can will solve any of the fundamental problems of the present of trade unionism there is no question. But that they believe. That the collaboration schemes will prove destructive The collaboration policy is bound to fall as All honest and sincere workers, It is the class OILW

Intelligent Trade Union Activity

is based on a thorough knowledge of the history, theory and practice of trade unionism, all angles of which you will find in this

Library For The Militant

	1.					• =	
A A GHIER	Constitution of the Red Trade Union Interna- tional		Amalgamation—By Jay Fox 15 Cents	The World Trade Union Movement By A. Losevsky 50 Cents	The Railroaders' Next Step-By Wm. Z. Foster 25 Cents	Bankruptcy of the American Labor Movement By Wm Z. Foster	
, wa	2 . ~	5 Cents	5 Се	0 Ce	5 Ce	5 Ce	57-
- 6		nts	nts :	nts	nts	nts	شر
				-	-		

Order from

THE DAILY WORKER

"The Source of All Communist Literature in This Country."

113 W. Washington Blvd.

hicago, III.

Four Years of Progress

of the

ommunist International

A Special Price of \$1.00

has been made for the reports of the 2nd-3rd-4th picture of three years' work of the leading world World Congresses of the Communist International Communist body.

Between the

5th

is the latest report of the Executive Committee of the Communist Congress

35 Cents Each.

Report of the 5th Congress of the C

of the world Communist movement. final record to date of the decisions of the leading body is the latest report of the Communist International and a

70 Conts Bach

Sole Agents in this Country

THE DAILY WORKER

1113 W. Washington Blvd.

Chicago,

WORKERS MONTHLY

poets are regulr contributors. Art features include the work of such well known artists as Robert Minor, Art Young, fired Ellis, Wm. Gropper, Adolph Delm, Hay Bales and many others.

Witter Bynner, Sarah Bard Field and other well known ing the best features of each. liberator, Photographs add to the splendid articles on every phase the Labor Movement and features of every issue are the Is a combination of threeqold, established magazines: The berator, Labor Herald and Soviet Russia Pictorial—retain-

OUTSTANDING WRITERS

COMMUNIST INTERNATIONAL

THE RED INTERNATIONAL of LABOR UNIONS.

RATES

Single Copy 25 Cents

SUBSCRIPTION: (To dealers 18 Cents) \$2.00 A Year \$1.25

FOREIGN

\$2.50 A Year

\$1.50 Six Months

Six Months

ommunist Internationa

Published simultaneously in English, Russian, French and German at Smolny, Leningrad, Russia, is the official organ of the leading body of the world Communist movement... the Communist International

Edited by Gregory Zinoviev, president of the C. I. and Karl Radek, one of the most brilliant writers in the world revolutionary movement, the Communist international gives to the thinking worker the best of articles on all world labor problems.

The English edition, arriving in this country every month an be had only from the sole agent in the United States— WORKER.

RATES

25 Cents for Single Copy

\$2.50 A Year (18 Cents to dealers)

Subscription:

THE LITTLE RED LIBRARY

Was born of a long felt need in the American Revolutionary movement.

In a handy and economic form, it will include the choice reprints of revolutionary classics and new works of the best writers in the American and International movement.

The only limitations of the Little Red Library will be of size and revolutionary requirements.

It will be of the widest possible interest, including social and industrial problems, history, philosophy, fiction, poetry and art.

In pocket size and all numbers uniform, it will carry the virtue of convenience and attractiveness in a portable library filling a long felt need of the militant American workers.

Copies are priced at 10 cents apiece, 12 for \$1.00; to agents 7 cents each.

Original manuscripts, translations and suggestions for reprint will be welcomed by the publishers. For the present manuscripts must be limited to 15,000 to 30,000 words.

This number is a fore-runner of many to follow at bi-monthly intervals. Look for the next.